

ABC of DFT: Hands-on session 3

Molecules: computation of ionization energies & electron affinities

Tutor: Alexej Bagrets

Wann? 23.11.2012, 11:30-13:00

Wo? KIT Campus Nord, Flachbau Physik, Geb. 30.22, Computerpool, Raum FE-6

- Reminder: formulation of the Kohn-Sham DFT and the Hartree-Fock method
- Performance of HF and approximate DFT exchange-correlation (XC) functionals:
 - ionization energies (IE)
 - electron affinities (EA)
 - bond lengths
 - examples: O_2 , N_2 , F_2 , Cl_2 , Br_2

Preparing for the hands-on session 3 ...

- Log into **intact** cluster (have a look at a separate sheet of paper with instructions)

- Switch to a working directory:

```
cd ~/ABC_of_DFT/hands-on-sessions/
```

- Copy a script `session3` from **dft20** account:

```
cp ~/../dft20/ABC_of_DFT/session3 .
```

- Type from a command line:

```
./session3
```

- Examples (with this tutorial) will be imported to a directory

```
~/ABC_of_DFT/hands-on-sessions/session3
```

Reminder: Hartree-Fock approximation

- Assume that ground state wave function can be written as single Slater determinant

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_1 \sigma_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N \sigma_N | \tilde{\Psi}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N}^0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_{\nu_1}(\mathbf{r}_1 \sigma_1) & \cdots & \phi_{\nu_1}(\mathbf{r}_N \sigma_N) \\ \phi_{\nu_2}(\mathbf{r}_1 \sigma_1) & \cdots & \phi_{\nu_2}(\mathbf{r}_N \sigma_N) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \phi_{\nu_N}(\mathbf{r}_1 \sigma_1) & \cdots & \phi_{\nu_N}(\mathbf{r}_N \sigma_N) \end{vmatrix}$$

where $\phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}\sigma)$ are spin orbitals (with $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$):

$$\phi_\nu(\mathbf{r}\sigma) = \phi_l(\mathbf{r})\chi_s(\sigma)$$

Reminder: Hartree-Fock approximation

- Using variational principle, minimize the energy of the trial state $|\tilde{\Psi}_0\rangle \dots$

$$E(\tilde{\Psi}_0) = \langle \tilde{\Psi}_0 | H | \tilde{\Psi}_0 \rangle / \langle \tilde{\Psi}_0 | \tilde{\Psi}_0 \rangle$$

- ... we come to **HF equations**:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \sum_{\mathbf{I}} v(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{I}}) \right] \phi_{l_i}(\mathbf{x}) +$$

external potential

$$\int d\mathbf{x}' v(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \sum_{j=1}^{\text{occ.}} \phi_{l_j}^*(\mathbf{x}') \left[\phi_{l_j}(\mathbf{x}') \phi_{l_i}(\mathbf{x}) - \phi_{l_j}(\mathbf{x}) \phi_{l_i}(\mathbf{x}') \delta_{s_i s_j} \right]$$

Hartree term exchange term

$$= \epsilon_{l_i} \phi_{l_i}(\mathbf{x})$$

Reminder: Kohn-Sham formulation of DFT

- Ansatz for the electron density:

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \rho_s(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{el.}}} |\varphi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

- Energy of the system is a functional of density:

$$E[\rho] = T_s[\rho] + \int d\mathbf{r} v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}) + V_H[\rho] + E_{\text{xc}}[\rho]$$

$$T_s[\rho] = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{el.}}} \int d\mathbf{r} \varphi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) \varphi_i(\mathbf{r})$$

$$V_H[\rho] = \frac{e^2}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

Reminder: Kohn-Sham formulation of DFT

- Minimization of the energy functional vs φ_i under constrain $\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ → **KS equations:**

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_s(\mathbf{r}) \right] \varphi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{r})$$

$$V_s(\mathbf{r}) = v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) + \int \frac{e^2 \rho_s(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d^3 \mathbf{r}' + V_{\text{XC}}[\rho_s(\mathbf{r})]$$

external potential Hartree potential “exchange & correlation” potential

$$V_{\text{XC}}[\rho_s(\mathbf{r})] = \frac{\delta E_{\text{XC}}[\rho_s]}{\delta \rho_s(\mathbf{r})}$$

Confronting DFT with Hartree-Fock

- Make a directory, where calculations are going to run

```
cd ~/ABC_of_DFT/hands-on-sessions/session.3/
```

```
mkdir my.xc
```

```
cd my.xc
```

- A script `xc` is designed to confront the Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation with commonly used DFT xc-functionals (BP86, PBE, and B3LYP) by computing bond lengths, ionization energies (IE) and electron affinities (EA) of a few diatomic molecules
- Type from a command line: `xc` , and read a short description

Confronting DFT with Hartree-Fock

- As input, `xc` script takes a molecule's symbol (accepted ones are `o2`, `n2`, `f2`, `c12`, `br2`), and abbreviation of the TURBOMOLE basis set (e.g., `def-SVP`)
- A script set-ups calculations for the charge neutral molecule X (TURBOMOLE module `define`), its positive (X^+) & negative (X^-) ions, computes their ground state energies and optimizes interatomic distances (TURBOMOLE `jobex` script)
- Based on computed energies, ionization energies (IE) and electron affinities (EA) are evaluated

- Ionization energy IE is the minimum (positive) energy required to remove an electron from a molecule X (with N electrons) to form an ion X^+



$$\text{IE} = E_0(N-1) - E_0(N)$$

If the ion X^+ retains the geometry of the initial X, the value of IE is referred to as the **vertical ionization energy**. If the ion X^+ is relaxed to its ground state, IE is referred to as the **adiabatic ionization energy**.

- Electron affinity EA is the energy released upon attachment of an electron to a molecule X resulting in the formation of the negative ion X^- :



$$\text{EA} = E_0(N) - E_0(N+1)$$

The adiabatic EA is equal to the difference between the total energies of a neutral system (X) and the corresponding anion (X^-) in its equilibrium geometry.

Confronting DFT with Hartree-Fock

- Type, e.g., from a command line: `xc f2 def-TZVP`
... output will follow on
- Results of your calculations can be found in a directory with a self-explanatory name, e.g., type
`cd ./results.f2.def-TZVP`
- You will see a subdirectory structure which contains set of HF calculations (directory `HF`), and set of DFT calculations with BP86, PBE and hybrid B3LYP functional (directories `b-p`, `pbe`, `b3-lyp`) performed for a charge neutral molecule (subdirectory `q0`) and its ionized states (subdirectories `q-1` and `q+1`)

Confronting DFT with Hartree-Fock

- To get summary of your results, type, e.g.
`cat data.f2.def-SVP.dat`
- A table will show up, where theory is compared with experimental data taken from [NIST Chemistry WebBook](http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/) (<http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/>)

```
=====
molecule: f2    basis: def-TZVP

                (vertical) (adiabatic) (adiabatic)
functional/method  IE [eV]   IE [eV]   EA [eV]   bond length [a.u.]
-----
bp86                15.53    15.36     n/a       2.6886
pbe                 15.42    15.25     n/a       2.6862
b3-lyp              15.85    15.63     3.35      2.6532
Hartree-Fock        15.79    15.34     2.67      2.5179

experiment*                15.60                3.12       2.6834
=====
```

Confronting DFT with Hartree-Fock

- Perform calculations for a few molecules (electron affinities are computed only for F_2 , Cl_2 , and Br_2) and analyze your results
- Check bond lengths: which results, HF or DFT, are superior to experiment?
- A bond length is changed, when electron is added or removed from a molecule. Information on the bond length can be obtained with help of `dist` script, e.g. type

```
cd ./b-p/q-1
```

```
dist 1 2 (a message will come out ...)
```

```
dist 1 f -- 2 f = 3.9148 au = 207.16 pm
```
- Is there any “rule of thumb” for the bond length, when going from a negative ion, over a neutral molecule, to a positive ion?

Confronting DFT with Hartree-Fock

- Koopman's theorem states that ionization energy (IE) is the negative of the HOMO's orbital energy: $IE = -\epsilon_{HOMO}$ (in case of HF, a statement is approximately valid as a result of the frozen core approximation, while in case of DFT the exact xc-functional is required)
- Orbital energies can be obtained, by viewing files, e.g.:

```
cat ./b-p/q0/molecular.levels.f2.q0.def-SVP.dat  
cat ./HF/q0/molecular.levels.f2.q0.def-SVP.dat
```
- Compare HF and DFT (but with approx. xc-functional !).
When $-\epsilon_{HOMO}$ is a good approximation for IE?
- When you switch from a semi-local functional (BP86 or PBE) to the hybrid functional B3-LYP, does result improve?